8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
SAN I	FRANCISCO DIVISION
In re GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS,	,) No. CR 06-90064 WHA
IOSHIIA WOLF) [PROPOSED] ORDER FINDING) WITNESS JOSHUA WOLF IN CIVIL
) CONTEMPT AND ORDERING) CONFINEMENT
Subpoondoù Fuity.	
19 The Court, having heard the Motion of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by KEVIN	
20 V. RYAN, United States Attorney for the Northern District of California, to hold witness Joshua	
21 Wolf in civil contempt of court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1826, and after conducting hearings on	
 22 July 20, 2006, and August 1, 2006, this Court finds that the government has met its burden of 23 proof by clear and convincing evidence with respect to the following: 	
	-
	government pursuant to the subpoena is relevant to the
	government pursuant to the subpoend is relevant to the
	lready in the government's possession:
IN CIVIL CONTEMPT	
Case No. CR 06-90064 WHA	1
	NORTHERN SAN In re GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS JOSHUA WOLF, Subpoenaed Party. The Court, having heard the Mo V. RYAN, United States Attorney for t Wolf in civil contempt of court pursuar July 20, 2006, and August 1, 2006, this proof by clear and convincing evidence 1. Joshua Wolf received an author grand jury testimony and produ 2. The information sought by the g grand jury's investigation; 3. The information sought is not a -[PROPOSED] ORDER FINDING WITNE

4. Joshua Wolf has, in person and through counsel, refused to comply with the grand jury subpoena without just cause; and 5. Based on this refusal, Joshua Wolf is in civil contempt of this Court. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, and for the reasons stated in open court, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Joshua Wolf be confined at a suitable place until such time as he is willing to give such testimony or provide such information as required by the grand jury subpoena. The period of confinement shall not exceed the life of the term of the grand jury, including extensions, and in no event shall exceed eighteen months. The witness's motion for bail pending appeal is **DENIED**. The Court finds that his appeal would be frivolous and interposed for delay, based on the facts of this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: August 1, 2006 [PROPOSED] ORDER FINDING WITNESS IN CIVIL CONTEMPT Case No. CR 06-90064 WHA

