1	PAUL ALAN LEVY, pro hac vice	
2	ALLISON M. ZIEVE Public Citizen Litigation Group	
3	1600 20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20009	
4	(202) 588-1000	
5	CHARLES A. BIRD, State Bar No. 056566 Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP	
6	600 West Broadway, Suite 2600 San Diego, California 92101-3391	
7	(619) 236-1414 Fax No. (619) 232-8311	
8	Attorneys for Michael Kremer	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BOSLEY MEDICAL INSTITUTE,	) No. 01 CV 1752-WQH (JMA) )
12	Plaintiff,	) District Judge Hayes
13	V.	) ANSWER
14		ý )
15	MICHAEL STEVEN KREMER,	ý ) )
16	Defendant.	/ ) )
17		)
18		
19	The caption identifying the Amended Cor	nplaint as the First Amended Complaint is denied,
20	because the complaint was amended while the ca	se was still before the United States District Court
21	for the Northern District of Illinois, before this cas	se was transferred to this district, and then amended
22	in the fall of 2003. Accordingly, the complaint is actually the Second Amended Complaint.	
23	1. It is admitted that this paragraph acc	urately describes the claims that were originally
24	submitted to the Court. It is denied that any of the Counts are valid, and further denied that Counts	
25	I, II and III remain in the case.	
26	2. Admitted.	
27		
28		
	ANSWER, No. 01 CV 1752-WQH (JMA)	

1	3. The first sentence is admitted. It is admitted that Kremer committed acts in California and	
2	that he has web sites at bosleymedical.com and bosleymedicalviolations.com. The allegations of this	
3	paragraph are otherwise denied.	
4	4. Admitted, except that it is denied that any property is the subject of this action.	
5	5. Admitted.	
6	6. Admitted.	
7	7. Admitted.	
8	8. It is admitted that Bosley Medical Institute originally registered each of the trademarks	
9	identified in this paragraph, and it is further admitted that the allegations in this paragraph were true	
10	at the time the amended complaint was filed. The allegations in this paragraph are otherwise denied.	
11	9. It is admitted that defendant Kremer is an adult individual, and admitted that Bosley had	
12	the Chestnut Street address, but denied that the address given was the last address known to Bosley	
13	at the time the amended complaint was filed. In his December 2002 deposition, Kremer testified that	
14	his address was 2981 Woodbury Court, Carlsbad, California, 92008.	
15	10. Admitted.	
16	11. Admitted.	
17	12. Admitted, except that defendant lacks information sufficient to permit him to admit or	
18	deny the specific numbers alleged in this paragraph, which are therefore denied	
19	13. Defendant lacks information sufficient to permit him to admit or deny the allegations in	
20	this paragraph, which are therefore denied.	
21	14. Admitted.	
22	15. Admitted.	
23	16. Admitted.	
24	17. Admitted.	
25	18. Admitted.	
26	19. Admitted.	
27	20. Admitted.	
28		
	2	

1	21. Admitted.	
2	22. Admitted.	
3	23. Denied.	
4	24. Denied.	
5	25. Denied.	
6	26. Admitted.	
7	27. Admitted.	
8	28. Denied.	
9	29. The first and second sentences of paragraph 28 are admitted. It is admitted that the letter	
10	contains a two-week deadline for complying with the letter's demand for money; the third sentence	
11	of paragraph 28 is otherwise denied.	
12	30. Denied.	
13	31. Admitted, except that the registration was accomplished on Kremer's behalf by a local	
14	office and/or franchise of Quik International.	
15	32. It is admitted that Kremer authored the letter attached as Exhibit H, that he delivered it	
16	to Bosley's main office in person, and that the letter contained Kremer's statement that he was going	
17	to advance various criticisms of Bosley in a variety of ways, one of which would be a web site. The	
18	allegations in paragraph 32 are otherwise denied.	
19	33. Denied.	
20	34. Admitted. It is noted that Bosley's claims about bosleymedicalviolations.com have been	
21	dismissed, and that dismissal was not appealed.	
22	35. It is admitted that, in November 2000, Kremer mailed to several Bosley physicians the	
23	letter, which speaks for itself, that is attached to this Answer as Exhibit BB. The allegations in	
24	paragraph 35 are otherwise denied.	
25	36. It is admitted that bosleymedical.com is identical to the former Bosley Medical Institute	
26	trademark bosleymedical. The allegations in paragraph 36 are otherwise denied. It is noted that the	
27	dismissal of Bosley's claims about bosleymedicalviolations.com was not appealed.	
28		
	3	
	ANSWER, No. 01 CV 1752-WQH (JMA)	

37. Denied.

2 38. It is admitted Kremer did not begin to use either of the domain names before January 3 2000. The allegations in paragraph 38 are otherwise denied.

4 39. Denied. It is admitted that Kremer's use of his domain names represents an exercise of 5 his rights under the fair use exceptions to the intellectual property laws, but that he does not own any 6 trademarks in the words that make up the contents of the domain names.

- 7 40. Admitted.
- 41. Admitted. 8
- 9 42. Denied.
- 10 43. Denied.

44. It is admitted that Kremer's web sites both link to Bosley's web site, that, for a period of 11 12 time, there were links from the bosleymedicalviolations.com web site to other web sites relating to 13 the hair care and hair restoration industry, and that, for a period of time, there were links from the 14 bosleymedicalviolations.com web site to other web sites that contained advertising including 15 advertising from concerns in the hair care and hair restoration industry. The allegations in paragraph 16 44 are otherwise denied. It is noted that the dismissal of Bosley's claims about 17 bosleymedicalviolations.com was not appealed.

18 45. Denied. It is admitted that, many years ago, Kremer received occasional email that 19 appeared to be intended for Bosley.

20 46. It is admitted that, at one point in time, Kremer stopped responding to email that was sent 21 to him in connection with bosleymedical.com. The allegations in paragraph 46 are otherwise denied

- 22 47. Denied. 23 48. Denied. 24 49. Denied. 25 50. Denied.
- 51. Denied.
- 26 27
- 28

52. It is admitted that Kremer hopes that persons in Bosley's target group of customers will
 visit his web site to learn the cons as well as the pros of being treated by Bosley. The allegations in
 paragraph 52 are otherwise denied outright, or denied because defendant lacks information sufficient
 to permit him to admit or deny the allegations.

- 5 53. It is admitted that Bosley uses its web site as a marketing channel. The allegations in
  6 paragraph 53 are otherwise denied.
- 7 54. Denied.
- **8** 55. Denied.
- **9** 56. Denied.
- **10** 57. Denied.
- 11 58. Denied.

59. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations in this
paragraph are admitted or denied as specifically answered in the foregoing paragraphs of this answer.
60. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
paragraph are denied.

18 61. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
19 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
20 paragraph are denied.

62. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
paragraph are denied.

63. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
paragraph are denied

- 27
- 28

64. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations in this
 paragraph are admitted or denied as specifically answered in the foregoing paragraphs of this answer.

4 65. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
5 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
6 paragraph are denied.

66. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
paragraph are denied.

10 67. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
11 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
12 paragraph are denied.

13 68. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
14 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
15 paragraph are denied.

16 69. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
17 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
18 paragraph are denied.

19 70. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
20 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations in this
21 paragraph are admitted or denied as specifically answered in the foregoing paragraphs of this answer.

22 71. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
23 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
24 paragraph are denied.

25 72. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the
26 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this
27 paragraph are denied.

28

1 73. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the 2 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this 3 paragraph are denied. 4 74. Because this Count has been dismissed from the case, no answer is required to the 5 allegations in this paragraph. To the extent that an answer may be required, the allegations of this 6 paragraph are denied. 7 75. The allegations in this paragraph are admitted or denied as specifically answered in the 8 foregoing paragraphs of this answer. 9 76. With respect to the introductory language of this paragraph, on line 16 of page 11 of the 10 Amended Complaint, it is admitted that Kremer has registered domain names and that he has used

11 domain names, but denied that he has ever trafficked in any domain names.

(a) It is admitted that bosleymedical.com is identical to the former Bosley Medical Institute
trademark bosleymedical. The allegations in paragraph 76(a) are otherwise denied.

(b) It is admitted that bosleymedical.com is identical to the former Bosley Medical Institutetrademark bosleymedical. The allegations in paragraph 76(b) are otherwise denied.

16 77. Denied.

17 (a) It is admitted that Kremer's use of his domain names represents an exercise of his rights
18 under the fair use exceptions to the intellectual property laws, but that he does not own any trademarks
19 in the words that make up the contents of the domain names. The allegations in paragraph 77(a) are
20 otherwise denied.

- (b) Admitted.
- (c) Admitted.
- 23 (d) Denied.
- (e) Denied.
- **25** 78. Denied.
- **26** 79. Denied.

27

1	80. The allegations in this paragraph are admitted or denied as specifically answered in the		
2	foregoing paragraphs of this answer.		
3	81. Admitted.		
4	82. Denied.		
5	83. Denied.		
6	84. Denied.		
7	85. The allegations in this paragraph are admitted or denied as specifically answered in the		
8	foregoing paragraphs of this answer.		
9	86. It is admitted that Bosley and Bosley Medical are distinctive. The allegations in paragraph		
10	86 are otherwise denied.		
11	87. Denied.		
12	88. Denied.		
13	89. Denied.		
14	90. The allegations in this paragraph are admitted or denied as specifically answered in the		
15	foregoing paragraphs of this answer.		
16	91. Because this paragraph states only legal conclusions, no answer is required.		
17	92. Denied.		
18	93. Denied.		
19	94. Denied.		
20	95. It is admitted that Bosley is seeking an injunction. The allegations in paragraph 95 are		
21	otherwise denied.		
22	96. Denied.		
23	Prayer for Relief		
24	It is denied that Bosley is entitled to any relief on its complaint, but rather the complaint should be		
25	dismissed and Bosley should be ordered to pay Kremer's reasonable costs and attorney fees.		
26	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
27			
28			
	8 ANSWER, No. 01 CV 1752-WQH (JMA)		

1	Without any admission that the following must be affirmatively pleaded or proved in order to	
2	constitute defenses, Kremer states the following for his affirmative defenses:	
3	1. The complaint does not state a claim upon which relief can be granted.	
4	2. Kremer's domain names are not likely to cause confusion about whether his web sites are	
5	from Bosley or are approved by Bosley.	
6	3. Kremer's domain names make fair use of the Bosley's trademarks to designate the subject	
7	of his criticism.	
8	4. Kremer's domain names are not "used in commerce."	
9	5. Kreemr's domain names are non-commercial use.	
10	6. Kremer's domain names are non-commercial speech protected under the First Amendment.	
11	7. Kremer's domain names neither blur nor tarnish Bosley's trademarks.	
12	8. Kremer's domain names are not dilutive of Bosley's trademarks.	
13	9. Kremer lacks a bad faith intent to profit from his domain names.	
14	10. Kremer has not used his domain names as a trademark.	
15	11. In using his domain names, Kremer believed and had reasonable grounds to believe that	
16	the use of the domain names was a fair use or otherwise lawful.	
17	12. The complaint is barred by the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.	
18	13. The complaint is barred by Article 1, Section 1 of the California Constitution.	
19	14. The injunctive relief sought in the complaint would be a prior restraint barred by the First	
20	Amendment.	
21		
22		
23	Respectfully submitted,	
24	/s/ Paul Alan Levy	
25	PAUL ALAN LEVY ALLISON M. ZIEVE	
26	Public Citizen Litigation Group	
27	1600 - 20 <sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009	
28		
	9	
	ANSWER, No. 01 CV 1752-WQH (JMA)	

1	1 (202	) 588-1000
2	LUC	E, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP
3 4		/s/ Charles A. Bird Charles A. Bird
5		Charles A. Bird e, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP
6	600 6 San (619	e, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP West Broadway, Suite 2600 Diego, California 92101-3391 ) 236-1414 No. (619) 232-8311
7	Dated: January 10, 2006	No. (619) 232-8311
8	8	
9 10		
11		
12	2	
13	3	
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19	9	
20	0	
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26	6	
27	7	
28		
		0
	ANSWER, No. 01 CV 1752-WQH (JMA)	