1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTR	RICT OF ARIZONA
8		
9	Best Western International, Inc., a non-)	No. CV-06-1537-PHX-DGC
10	profit Arizona corporation,) Plaintiff,)	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE ORDER
11	V. (Flaintin,)	
12	James Furber, an Internet website)	
13	administrator; James and Nidrah Dial,) Internet website bloggers and Members of)	
14	Best Western International, Inc.; Loren)	
15	Unruh, an Internet website blogger and) Member of Best Western International,) Inc., and Gayle Unruh,	
16	Defendants.	
17)	
18	H. James Dial, an individual,	
19	Counterclaimant,	
20	V.)	
21	Best Western International, Inc., a non-) profit Arizona corporation; and Roman)	
22	Jaworowicz,	
23	Counterdefendants.	
24	/	
25	This case has been referred to	United States Magistrate Judge Lawrence O.
26	Anderson for a Settlement Conference.	
27		
28		

1 The purpose of the Settlement Conference is to facilitate settlement of this 2 case, if that is appropriate. It will be conducted in such a manner as not to prejudice any 3 party in the event a settlement is not reached. To that end, the offer and demand, if any, 4 communicated to the undersigned in confidence will be kept confidential and will not be 5 disclosed to any adverse party absent express consent to do so. Rule 408, Federal Rules of 6 Evidence, applies to all aspects of the Settlement Conference. All communications and 7 information exchanged in and during the settlement process, not otherwise discoverable, 8 will not be admissible in evidence for any purpose and shall not be used for any purpose 9 outside the Settlement Conference itself. At the conclusion of the Settlement Conference, 10 all documents submitted and exchanged by the parties shall be returned, destroyed, or 11 otherwise disposed of in the manner directed by the Settlement Judge upon the request of 12 any party.

13 At the Settlement Conference and subject to modification by the Court 14 depending on the uniqueness of each case, each party, through counsel or individually if 15 unrepresented, may be asked to give a brief presentation outlining the factual and legal 16 highlights of that party's case and/or respond to general and a specific questions by the 17 Settlement Judge. Thereafter, separate and private caucuses will be held with each party, 18 the party's representative and the Settlement Judge. Complete candor with the Court is 19 not only expected but is required. In the Matter of Fee, 182 Ariz. 597, 898 P.2d 975 20 (1995).

21 This Order mandating the physical appearance of the parties, corporate 22 representatives and insurers is intended to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the 23 Settlement Conference by reducing the time for communication of offers and expanding 24 the ability to explore the varied options for settlement, to give the adverse parties the 25 opportunity to hear the rationale and arguments regarding the likelihood of success of the 26 claims/defenses directly from the lawyers who will be trying the case, to meet the litigants 27 themselves and to hear first-hand the candid comments, if any, made by the Settlement 28 Judge about the case or the judicial process.

- 2 -

1 Years of experience has taught the undersigned that the physical presence, 2 rather than the availability by telephone, of those individuals with the authority to settle 3 cases substantially increases the likelihood of settlement and leads to more meaningful 4 negotiations.

5 Consequently, pursuant to the authority granted to the Court in, among others, 28 U.S.C. §473(b)(5) and Rule 16(b), FED.R.CIV.P., the parties and 6 representatives of the parties with "full and complete authority"¹ to discuss settlement of 7 8 the case **SHALL** physically appear at the date and time of the Settlement Conference 9 unless expressly excused by the undersigned by timely motion and order issued prior to 10 the subject settlement conference for good cause shown. In re Novak, 932 F.2d 1397, 1407 (11th Cir. 1991) ("... we conclude that the power to direct parties with full 11 12 settlement authority at pretrial settlement conferences is inherent in the district courts.").

13 14

21

23

IT IS ORDERED:

1. All parties and their counsel who are responsible for the case SHALL 15 physically appear before the undersigned Settlement Judge, Courtroom 302, Sandra Day 16 O'Connor U. S. Courthouse, 401 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona on Thursday, 17 January 22, 2009 at 1:30 p.m. The Court has allocated 2 hours for the Settlement 18 Conference or longer if meaningful progress is being made towards settlement. 19

If the Defendant is an insured party, a representative of that party's insurer 20 with full and complete authority to discuss and settle the case **SHALL** physically appear at the aforesaid date and time. An uninsured or self-insured corporate party SHALL 22

24 ¹ "Full and complete authority" within this Order means that the individual appearing for, or on behalf of, the Defendant(s) shall have the express authority and discretion to 25 authorize the payment to, or accept the terms of, Plaintiff's last settlement demand. "Full and 26 complete authority" does not mean, however, that Defendant or representative is required to pay such demand or any sum whatsoever. Kothe v. Smith, 771 F.2d 667, 669 (2nd Cir.1985) 27 (Rule 16 "was not designed as a means for clubbing the parties-or one of them-into an involuntary compromise."); In re Novak, 932 F.2d at 1406 n. 18. 28

1 physically appear at aforesaid Settlement Conference through its authorized 2 representative with full and complete authority to discuss and settle the case. *Pitman v*. 3 Brinker International, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on review in part in Pitman v. Brinker International, Inc., 2003 WL 23353478, 1 (D. Ariz. 2003); Gee Gee 4 Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590 (8th Cir. 2001) (District judge acted well 5 6 within his discretion by imposing a monetary fine payable to the Clerk of the District 7 Court as a sanction for failing to prepare requested memorandum and deciding to send a 8 corporate representative to ADR conference with limited authority.); Lockhart v. Patel, 9 M.D., 115 F.R.D. 44 (E.D.Ky 1987) (In medical malpractice action, answer stricken for 10 failure of insurance representative with authority to settle to appear at settlement 11 conference.).

12 2. Experience teaches that settlement conferences are often unproductive 13 unless the parties have exchanged settlement demands and compromise offers before the 14 conference and have made a serious effort to settle the case on their own. Accordingly, 15 before arriving at the settlement conference, the parties shall negotiate and make good 16 faith efforts to settle the case without the involvement of the Court. The parties shall 17 exchange written correspondence regarding settlement. The Plaintiff's demand shall be 18 delivered to defense counsel and the insurer's representative, if any, not less than **20 days** 19 before the Settlement Conference. Defendant's response to the demand shall be delivered 20 to Plaintiffs' counsel not less than 12 days before the Settlement Conference.

monies for medical and hospital expenses and/or lost wages paid, such as, a worker's

said person or entity of the Settlement Conference so that such person, entity or its

authorized representative may appear and participate in the Settlement Conference.

compensation carrier or a health insurance carrier, arrangements shall be made to notify

Plaintiff's counsel shall promptly provide a true and complete copy of this Order to such

- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 28

- 4 -

3. If a third person or entity asserts a substantial lien on any settlement

1 person, entity or its authorized representative. A.R.S. §23-1023(c); Stout v. State 2 Compensation Fund, 197 Ariz. 238, 3 P.3d 1158 (2000). 3 4. In the absence of a prior order by the undersigned to the contrary, copies 4 of all Settlement Conference Memoranda shall be exchanged between counsel, at least, 5 five (5) business days before the Settlement Conference. Counsel shall provide a copy 6 of all memoranda to their client(s) for review prior to the Settlement Conference and shall 7 explain the settlement conference procedures to their clients before the Settlement 8 Conference. 9 Each party shall provide the Court with the original of that party's 10 Settlement Conference Memoranda, at least, five (5) business days before the 11 Settlement Conference. The Settlement Conference Memoranda shall NOT be filed 12 with the Clerk. The original Settlement Conference Memoranda shall be delivered 13 directly to the chambers of U.S. Magistrate Judge Lawrence O. Anderson or e-mailed to 14 the undersigned's ECF mailbox (anderson_chambers@azd.uscourts.gov).² Each 15 Memorandum shall address the following: 16 a. A brief statement of the facts of the case. 17 b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other 18 grounds upon which the claims are founded, including the citation to appropriate 19 authorities; the reasonable damages allegedly incurred by Plaintiff and, if appropriate, 20 Counterclaimant; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the 21 claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute. 22 23 c. A brief summary of the proceedings to date including rulings on motions 24 and motions outstanding, if any. 25 26 27 ² This mailbox is not to be used as a general means of communication to the Court or 28 its staff. - 5 -

1	d. An estimate of the costs and time to be expended for further discovery,		
2	pretrial and trial, including past and future attorneys' and experts' fees.		
3	e. A brief statement of the facts and issues upon which the parties agree		
4	and disagree.		
5	f. Whether there is/are any distinct or dominant issue(s) which, if resolved,		
6	would likely aid in the disposition of the case.		
7	g. The relief sought.		
8			
9	h. Each party's position on settlement, including the amount that the		
10			
11	history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.		
12	In the absence of any Order by the undersigned to the contrary, each party's		
13	Settlement Conference Memoranda shall not exceed seventeen (17) pages exclusive of		
14	attachments and shall otherwise comply with LRCiv 7.2, 7.2(a) and 7.1(b)(1), Rules of		
15	Practice for the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, as amended on		
16	December 1, 2007. No responsive memorandum shall be permitted.		
17	5. If the Settlement Conference is held, the Court will assume that the		
18	parties have agreed to separate meetings (caucuses) with the Settlement Judge. Judicial		
19	and lawyer ethical rules prohibit ex parte caucuses without such agreement. By appearing		
20	at this conference, the Court will deem that the parties have consented to this procedure		
21	and waived any objection thereto unless a written Objection is filed not less than three (3)		
22	business days before the Settlement Conference.		
23	6. Absent good cause shown, if any party, counsel or insurer's		
24	representative fails to promptly appear at the Settlement Conference, fails to comply with		
25 25	the terms of this Order, including the failure to timely provide the settlement conference		
26	memoranda, is substantially unprepared to meaningfully participate in the Settlement		
27	Conference, or fails to participate in good faith in the Settlement Conference, the		
28			
	- 6 -		

settlement conference may be vacated and sanctions may be imposed pursuant to Rules
 16(f) and 37(b)(2)(B) (C), and (D), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure which may include
 the entry of default judgment, dismissal of the Complaint and/or an award of reasonable
 attorney's fees and expenses and/or a finding of contempt. *G. Heileman Brening, Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corporation*, 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir. 1989); *Lockhart v. Patel, M.D., supra.; Gee Gee Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., supra.*

7 7. Counsel and any party, if unrepresented by counsel, shall notify the 8 Court in writing, at least, ten (10) business days before the Settlement Conference if one 9 or more of the attorneys or unrepresented parties believes that the Settlement Conference 10 would be a futile act resulting in a waste of time and money, inconsistent with Rule 1, 11 FED.R.CIV.P., because, for example, either side has adopted an unreasonable position 12 from which that party refuses to deviate. The Court will then consider whether the 13 Settlement Conference would be helpful and, if not, whether the Settlement Conference 14 should be canceled or other forms of the alternative dispute resolutions be considered. If 15 there is disagreement between or among the attorneys or unrepresented parties on this 16 issue or any other issue which warrants vacating the Settlement Conference, they are 17 instructed to arrange a telephonic conference with the Court and all counsel as soon as 18 reasonably practical. If no such conference is arranged, it will be presumed that all 19 counsel, their clients and any unrepresented party believe that there is a reasonable, good 20 faith opportunity for settlement, and that the involvement of a Settlement Judge is needed 21 to accomplish it.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel shall keep the Court
apprized of the possibility of settlement and should settlement be reached, the parties
///
///
///
26
27
28

22

shall immediately file a Notice of Settlement with the clerk of the Court with a copy to this Court's chambers. DATED this 3rd day of December, 2008. lepon towne Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge - 8 -